



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/945,179	08/30/2001	Todd J. Sheldon	P-8729	2977
27581 75	11/03/2004	,	EXAM	INER
MEDTRONIC, INC.			GETZOW, SCOTT M	
710 MEDTRON	NIC PARKWAY NE	•		
MS-LC340			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55432-5604		3762	
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N-A-	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/945,179	SHELDON ET AL.	V			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott M. Getzow	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Au	<u>igust 2004</u> .					
a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,25-27,34,50-53 and 55</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,25-27,34,50-53 and 55</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) In the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-1	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152))			

Application/Control Number: 09/945,179 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 1,34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Natarajan et al.

See previous office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Natarajan in view of Siegel et al '426.

See previous office action.

3. Claims 25-27,51-53,55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Natarajan in view of Olson '084.

See previous office action.

- 4. The declarations filed on 8/2/04 under 37 CFR 1.131 have been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Natarajan reference.
- 5. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish diligence from a date prior to the date of reduction to practice of the Natarajan reference to either a constructive reduction to practice or an actual reduction to practice. There are insufficient facts set forth in the declarations submitted to establish that applicant had the requisite diligence from the date of conception to the reduction to practice. Further, no supporting documentation has been submitted.

Page 3

6. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a conception of the invention prior to the effective date of the Natarajan reference. While conception is the mental part of the inventive act, it must be capable of proof, such as by demonstrative evidence or by a complete disclosure to another. Conception is more than a vague idea of how to solve a problem. The requisite means themselves and their interaction must also be comprehended. See *Mergenthaler v. Scudder*, 1897 C.D. 724, 81 O.G. 1417 (D.C. Cir. 1897). As mentioned supra, no supporting evidence has been submitted which support the assertions in the declarations.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Getzow whose telephone number is (703) 308-2997. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

Art Unit: 3762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott M. Getzow Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

Smg